UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,089	09/22/2005	Jacques Augustin Laeuffer	PSA0208749	4513
29980 NICOLAS E. S	7590 09/30/200 ECKEL	EXAMINER		
Patent Attorney 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700			RESTIFO, JEFFREY J	
WASHINGTO		700	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/521,089	LAEUFFER, JACQUES AUGUSTIN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey J. Restifo	3618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Desions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/15</u>	5/08				
-		s action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is			
٥/ا	closed in accordance with the practice under	·				
Disposit	ion of Claims					
· ·		a in the application				
	Claim(s) 12,13,18-21 and 23-26 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>24-26</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>12,13 and 23</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2008</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/521,089 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

filed on 10/22/07.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on 10/22/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the method shares the structure of group II, however the examiner mentioned that the apparatus of group II does not require the method of group I.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 18-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply

3. This application contains claims 18-21 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 10/22/07. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,089 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipating by Sugiura et al. (US 6,220,019 B1).

6. Sugiura et al. discloses a hybrid vehicle comprising wheels 5, engine 1, electric machine or motor/generator 3, converter (not shown), super-capacitor 14, and controller 13 which inherently includes semiconductors for controlling the motor and voltage of the super-capacitor, wherein said motor is engaged when the vehicle speed reaches a predetermined speed and acts as a regenerative brake for supplying power to the super-capacitor, as shown in figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugiura et al., as applied to claim 23 above.

Sugiura et al. does not explicitly disclose at what speeds the motor is used to power the vehicle. The exact speed is not viewed as critical to the invention and unless the specific speed produces an unexpected result, little patentable weight will be given to the specific speed that the motor powers the vehicle alone and therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

have cut the engine of the hybrid vehicle of Sugiura et al. at 20, 30 mph, or any other speed in order to save on emissions from burning fuel in the engine.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 24-26 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/521,089 Page 5

Art Unit: 3618

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey J. Restifo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey J Restifo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3618

/Jeffrey J Restifo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3618 /Jeffrey J Restifo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3618